[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 15 September 2026 22:20
To: Crown Casework
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester

[redacted]

Dear Secretary of State,

I live in [redacted] from the proposed site, so this development is something that could have a real effect on my day-to-day life and on the community I live in.

I understand that accommodation is needed for people seeking asylum, and this is not an objection to those people. My concern is whether putting up to 1,256 people in one location is appropriate for this site and the surrounding area.

This is very different from an urban setting. We have smaller villages, limited public transport and relatively few local services. A development of this size would inevitably bring more traffic, activity, lighting and pressure on local facilities, and could significantly change the character and quality of life of the area.

I would also like to know whether the impact on local wildlife and the surrounding countryside has been fully considered. The proposal involves demolition, new buildings and infrastructure, as well as increased lighting, noise, traffic and activity. I think it is important that any potential effects on wildlife and existing habitats are properly assessed and that appropriate measures are in place to protect them.

The roads are another particular concern. Pedestrian facilities are limited in places and the surrounding roads have already seen serious accidents. Adding a large number of additional journeys and people travelling on foot needs very careful consideration. I was also concerned to see what appear to be references to the wrong road in the Transport Assessment, which raises questions about how accurately the local situation has been assessed.

There are also important questions about the site that do not appear to have been fully resolved, including flooding and drainage, possible contamination, wastewater and the permanent electricity supply. These are fundamental issues for a development intended to accommodate this many people for potentially ten years.

There would also be additional demands on local GP services, emergency services and policing. There needs to be confidence that sufficient capacity and proper arrangements are in place for security, safeguarding and dealing with any problems that may arise.

I am aware that this site has been considered for asylum accommodation before and that a Planning Inspector previously recommended refusal following a public inquiry. Although this is a new application, I believe the concerns about the suitability of the location remain relevant.

For all of these reasons, **I object to the proposal and ask that the application is refused**. I do not believe the suitability of the site and its impact on the surrounding communities have been adequately demonstrated.

Yours faithfully,

[redacted]

[redacted]